

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/134,881 10/12/93 KOENCK 10306US04 EXAMINER 21M1/0406 GREGORY C. SCHODDE MCANDREWS, HELD & MALLOY, LTD. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 34TH FLOOR 5 500 WEST MADISON STREET 2102 CHICAGO, IL 60661 DATE MAILED: 04/06/94 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 1 hree(3) month(s), ____ days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948.
 D Notice of Informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 3. 6. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. SUMMARY OF ACTION 1. X Claims_ Of the above, claims 2. T Claims _ 3. Claims 4. X Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement. 7. M This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes. 8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are acceptable. not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948). 10. 🔲 The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on ______ has (have) been 🔲 approved by the examiner.

disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). 11. The proposed drawing correction, filed on ___ _____, has been approved. disapproved (see explanation). 12. Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has 🔲 been received 🗖 not been received been filed in parent application, serial no. : filed on 13. 🔲 Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. 14. Other

EXAMINER'S ACTION

PTOL-326 (Rev. 9-89)

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed on February 28, 1994 has been fully considered an a copy of the PTOL-1449 is attached hereto.

<u>Drawings</u>

This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 49-64 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-43 of U.S. Patent No. 4,455,523 granted to Koenck. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the battery monitoring system of the present invention sets forth obvious variants to the already patented battery monitoring system in the '523 patent. Furthermore, most the claim limitations in the present application are merely reiterations already present in the '523 patent.

The obviousness-type double patenting rejection is a judicially established doctrine based upon public policy and is primarily intended to prevent prolongation of the patent term by prohibiting claims in a second patent not patentably distinct from claims in a first patent.

In re Vogel, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970). A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance

with 37 C.F.R. § 1.321(b) would overcome an actual or provisional rejection on this ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.78(d).

Conclusion

An inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Emanuel Todd Voeltz at telephone number (703) 308-2823.

etv April 2, 1994

EMANUEL T. VOELTZ PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 2100